Siting Advisory Committee Purpose

- Provide advice and recommendations on siting process and sites
- Provide input and direction to ensure robust community engagement throughout the siting process
Siting Advisory Committee Members

- Dennis Roberston
- Thomas McLeod
- Joe Duffie (alternate)
- Bob Giberson
- Tod Bookless, Chair
- Kathleen Wilson, Vice Chair
- Will Gillespie
- Jerry Thornton
- Reviewed information on each facility
- Reviewed and provided advice on council-approved Siting Criteria for each site
- Fire Station Location study
- Participated in the planning and implementation of Open Houses (2)
Siting Advisory Committee
Work to Date

Reviewed site-specific locations for each facility

Fire Station 52
Siting Advisory Committee

Work to Date

Reviewed site-specific locations for each facility

Fire Station 54
Siting Advisory Committee

Work to Date

Reviewed site-specific locations for each facility

Justice Center
Siting Advisory Committee

Work to Date

Reviewed site-specific locations for each facility

Public Works Facility
Reviewed information on each site and parcel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Zoning</th>
<th>Acre</th>
<th>Buildings</th>
<th>Unique Owners</th>
<th>Assessed Value</th>
<th>Assessed Value/SF</th>
<th>Distance to Transit</th>
<th>Environmental Risks</th>
<th>Liquefaction Susceptibility</th>
<th>Results of 7/10 Screens</th>
<th>Results of N/A Screen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PW1</td>
<td>MIC/N</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$24,000,200</td>
<td>$16</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Within Urban Shoreline designation</td>
<td>Moderate to High</td>
<td>Keep for further consideration/ additional info</td>
<td>Dropped due to pending permit application, access issues and size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW2</td>
<td>MIC/N</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$6,617,600</td>
<td>$14</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Within Urban Shoreline designation</td>
<td>Moderate to High</td>
<td>Keep for further consideration/ additional info</td>
<td>Dropped due to pending permit application and access issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW3</td>
<td>MIC/N</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>$9,834,100</td>
<td>$21</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Within Urban Shoreline designation</td>
<td>Moderate to High</td>
<td>Insufficient usable land upon further assessment</td>
<td>Dropped on 7/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW4</td>
<td>MIC/N</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$13,601,800</td>
<td>$32</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>None observed</td>
<td>Moderate to High</td>
<td>Keep for further consideration/ additional info</td>
<td>Dropped due to pending permit application and property bounded by power lines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW5</td>
<td>MIC/N</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$14,212,700</td>
<td>$27</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Within Urban Shoreline designation. Portion of property an Ecology cleanup site</td>
<td>Moderate to High</td>
<td>Keep for further consideration/ additional info</td>
<td>Keep for further consideration/ additional info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW6</td>
<td>MIC/N</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13,560,800</td>
<td>$27</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Within Urban Shoreline designation</td>
<td>Moderate to High</td>
<td>Keep for further consideration/ additional info</td>
<td>Keep for further consideration/ additional info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW7</td>
<td>MIC/N</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$23,753,000</td>
<td>$37</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>None observed</td>
<td>Moderate to High</td>
<td>Keep for further consideration/ additional info</td>
<td>Keep for further consideration/ additional info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW8</td>
<td>MIC/N</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$74,407,600</td>
<td>$29</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Within Urban Shoreline designation. No Ecology facility observed. Superfund site.</td>
<td>Moderate to High</td>
<td>No further consideration due to environmental conditions, deal complexity and challenging owners that may impact implementation</td>
<td>Dropped on 7/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW9</td>
<td>MIC/N</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$15,032,200</td>
<td>$23</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Portion of property an Ecology cleanup site</td>
<td>Moderate to High</td>
<td>No further consideration due to environmental conditions, deal complexity and challenging owners that may impact implementation</td>
<td>Dropped on 7/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW10</td>
<td>MIC/L</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$90,038,800</td>
<td>$36</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>High risk of landslide on the southern portion of the site. Portion of property an Ecology cleanup site.</td>
<td>Almost half is bedrock; 40% very low and around 10% moderate to high</td>
<td>No further consideration due to building cost and significantly more work than</td>
<td>Dropped on 7/10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Justice Center**

| JC1    | MIC/N  | 12.6 | 4         | 1             | $483,347,900   | $88              | Far                 | Within Urban Shoreline designation (new issue as the site is develop) | Moderate to High   | No further consideration due to building cost and significantly more work than | Dropped on 7/10 |
Compared short list against criteria for each facility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8-Aug-17</th>
<th>Site Alternatives - Fire Stations</th>
<th>Site Selection Criteria, v.6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Station 52</td>
<td>Public Safety Plan Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Station 54</td>
<td>Fire Station Criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Indicates Essential Component</td>
<td>FS52_A</td>
<td>FS52_D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Address</td>
<td>Macau Site</td>
<td>6510 Bldg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EVALUATION CRITERIA - FIRE STATIONS**

1. City Operational Requirements - Must Have
   a. Location within Response Time Polygon
   b. Parcel Size, Environmental Conditions
Public Engagement

Two in-person open houses
Two online open houses
Mailings with information
Comprehensive website
Engagement with community organizations
Public meetings; regular Council updates
Public Engagement

What we heard

- Ability of projects to transform a neighborhood
- Facility needs to fit within a neighborhood
- Fire stations should be sited equitably throughout the City
- Keep Public Works out of residential areas
How we got to recommended sites

- Location, access and operability key
- Emergency response times, particularly for Fire Stations
- Keeping our commitments – safe locations away from flood plain, liquefaction areas, etc.
- Site selection criteria
- Community input
Siting Advisory Committee Recommendations

Fire Station 52
City Hall Campus
North parking lot
Siting Advisory Committee Recommendations

Fire Station 54
42\textsuperscript{nd} Ave. S. & S. 140\textsuperscript{th} St.
Siting Advisory Committee Recommendations

Justice Center
TIB & S. 150th St.
Siting Advisory Committee Recommendations

Public Works Between TIB, S. 112th St. and E. Marginal Way
Final Thoughts

- Robust process; highest ranked sites met the criteria
- Work with the businesses displaced
- Understand and support eminent domain; hope for negotiated agreement
- Continued advice on community engagement – critical as the projects move forward
- Excited about the opportunity to improve our community